



AGENDA TITLE:

Adopt Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to File Claim for 2004/05 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Funds in the Amount of \$2,229,362 from the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and \$6,482 from State Transit

Assistance (STA)

**MEETING DATE:** 

October 6, 2004

PREPARED BY:

**Public Works Director** 

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

That the City Council adopt a resolution authorizing the

City Manager to file a claim for the 2004/2005 Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds in the amount of \$2,229,362

(including \$122,000 in unexpended carryover) from

Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and \$6,482 from State Transit Assistance (STA).

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Each year, the City of Lodi receives an apportionment of TDA funds to support Lodi's transit operations and pedestrian/bicycle costs.

These are State transportation funds that are primarily for

non-vehicular transportation but can be used on roads if those other

needs are being met. They are channeled through the Council of Governments, our regional transportation planning agency. The claim for fiscal year 2004/2005, including pedestrian/bike, unexpended carryover and 3% for San Joaquin Council of Governments planning, is \$2,229,362 from LTF and \$6,482 from STA. A copy of the claim is attached.

The City Council should be aware that our transit operations, Dial-A-Ride and GrapeLine, are fully funded with formula dollars from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), TDA, and other competitive sources of funds. Transit is not dependent on any General Fund money. We intend to continue to use TDA funds for transit, pedestrian, and bicycle-related projects and maintenance, as much as possible.

FUNDING:

None required.

Richard C. Prima, Jr. Public Works Director

Prepared by Tiffani M. Fink, Transportation Manager RCP/TMF/pmf

Attachment

Finance Director City Engineer

APPROVED:

Janet S. Keeter, Interim City Manager

9/24/2004

## LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO: San Joaquin Council of Gov 555 E. Weber Avenue Stockton, CA 95202	rernments
FROM: City of Lodi, California (appl	icant)
ADDRESS: 221 West Pine Street Loc	
-	ns Mgr PHONE: (209) 333-6800 x 2678
with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 tions, that its annual transport	hereby requests, in accordance and applicable rules and regularitation claim be approved in the fiscal year 2004/2005, to be drawn and.
payment. Approval of the claim to this applicant is subject t available for distribution, and	nis claim to the County Auditor for and payment by the County Auditor to such monies being on hand and to the provisions that such monies with the terms of the approved
and the financial information co accurate to the best of my know information indicates the eligi	s Local Transportation Fund claim ntained therein, is reasonable and ledge, and that the aforementioned bility of this claimant for funds cation pursuant to CAC Section 6634
APPROVED:	Maria
San Joaquin Council	Name:
of Governments	Title: Interim City Manager
By: JULIA E. GREENE	Date:20
Executive Director	
Date:20	
Applicant:	
Signed:	

## STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO: San Joaquin Council of Governments

555 E. Weber Avenue

Stockton, CA 95202	
FROM: City of Lodi, California (appl	icant)
ADDRESS: 221 West Pine Street L	
	ns Mgr PHONE: (209) 333-6800 x 2678
drawn from the State Transit Ass County.	ties Code, hereby requests, in atutes of 1971 as amended, and s, that an allocation be made in for fiscal year 2004/2005 to be sistance trust fund of San Joaquin
claimant are subject to such moni	ent by the County Auditor to this les being on hand and available for ons that such monies will be used as of the approved claim.
Claim and the financial information accurate to the best of my tioned information indicates the	is State Transit Assistance Fund on contained herein, is reasonable knowledge, and that the aforemen- e eligibility of this claimant for the application pursuant to CAC
APPROVED:	Name:
San Joaquin Council	Janet S. Keeter Title: <u>Interim City Manager</u>
of Governments	Date:20
By:	Dace:20
JULIA E. GREENE Executive Director	
Date:20	
Applicant:	
Signed:	

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I.	Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment							
	Α.	Area Apportionment 2004-2005 \$	1,932,805.00					
	В.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	41,027.00					
	C.	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	71,990.00					
	D.	Unexpended Carryover	122,000.00					
	E.	3% for COG Transit Planning	61,540.00					
	F.	Total Available for 2004-2005 Claim(s)	2,229,362.00					
	G.	Less any LTF Already Claimed 2004-2005	(					
	н.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM \$ (Also enter on page 9, 1st column)	2,229,362.00					
	I.	Actual net funds available (H-D-E=I) \$	2,045,822.00					
II.	Sta	te Transit Assistance Fund Available Appo:	rtionment					
	Α.	0						
	В.	Special Operator Apportionment 2004-2005	5,166.00					
	C.	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	1,316.00					
	D.	Unexpended Carryover	0					
	Ε.	2% of A. Claimed on Behalf of COG for Transit Planning	0					
	F.	Total Available for 2004-2005 Claim(s)	(6,482.00					
	G.	Less any STA Already Claimed 2004-2005	(					
	н.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM \$ (Also enter on page 9, 2nd column)	6,482.00					
	I.	Actual net funds available (H-D-E=I) \$	6,482.00					

#### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

Claim 1	Purpose	I. LTF	II. STA
I.	PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION		
	Article 4 (99260)-Operator <sup>1</sup>	0	
	CCR Sec 6730(a) Public Transi	t	6,482.00
	Article 8 (99400(c)) Contractor operating	1,782,805.00	N/A
	Article 8 (99400(e)) Contractor capital	0	N/A
	Article 8 (99400(b)) Passenger Rail Service Operations & Capital	0	N/A
	TDA Administration	61,540.00	0
II.	PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE Article 3 (99234)	80,027.00	N/A
III.	ROADS AND STREETS Article 8 (99400(a))	233,000.00	N/A
IV.	OTHER Article 8 (99400(b,c,d,e))	0	
TOTAL 7	THIS CLAIM	2,157,372.00	6,482.00
	AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM m page 8, I. H. and II. H.)	2,229,362.00	6,482.00
	MED APPORTIONMENT AL AVAIL. less TOTAL THIS CLAI	M) <u>71,990.00</u>	0
space be above.	NT: To avoid accidental overpayment elow any unexpended carryover includ Identify the amount of carryover aned. Attach pages as necessary.	ed in the amounts be:	ng claimed
LTF in	Transit Fund to be reclaimed for _	·····	\$
LTF in	n Street & Road Fund reclaimed for $\underline{S}$	treets and Roads	\$ <u>83,000.00</u>
LTF in	n Ped/Bike Fund reclaimed for $\underline{B}$	ike/Ped	\$ 39,000.00
STA in	Transit Fund reclaimed for		\$
	TOTAL UNEXPENDED CARRYOVER		\$122,000,00

Operators claiming STA funds must meet qualifying criteria (PUC Section 99314.6). Page 17 of this form must be completed.

## PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

# PLEASE CIRCLE EITHER:

# FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Article 4 Operator Article 8 Contractor

2003-2004

		PLEASE CIRCLE	2004-2005
I.	OPERATING REVENUE	ACTUAL or ESTIMATE	BUDGET
401 402 405	Passenger Fares Special Transit Fares Charter Service Revenues	\$303,261.68	\$300,000.00
406	Auxiliary Transportation Revenues (includes advertisin	29,949.69 g)	
407 408	Non-Transportation Revenues Tax Revenue (Specify:) Property Tax Sales Tax (not TDA)		
409	Local Grants & Reimbursements Purchase of Service Local Transportation Fund(LTF		1,782,805.00
410	Local Special Fare Assistance	5,609.00	6,482.00
411	State Cash Grants & Reimb. State Transit Assistance (STA	11,056.36	10,000.00
	Other		
412 413	State Special Fare Assistance Federal Grants & Reimbursemen (Specify) FTA Grants	its	444,314.00
430	Contributed Services (Not Cas	sh)	
440	Subsidy from other Sector of Operations		
	TOTAL	2,462,212.49	2,543,601.00
II. 464	CAPITAL REVENUE Capital Grants & Subsidies		
	Specify Fed, State, Local: <u>Federal Transit Admin</u>	<del></del>	55,000.00
	State Transit Assistance (STA)		
	Local Transportation Fund (LTF)		
	Non-Governmental Donations		
	TOTAL		55,000.00

III.	OPERATING EXPENSES	2003-2004 <b>PLEASE CIRCLE</b> Actual or Estimate	2004-2005 Budget
501	Labor Operators Salaries/Wages Other Salaries/Wages	108,276.75	89.225.00
502	Fringe Benefits	6,739.00	19,385.00
503	Services	189,576.98	185,097.40
504	Materials/Supplies Fuels/Lubricants Tires/Tubes Other		115,000.00
505	Utilities	58,634.69	28,305.00
506	Casualty/Liability Costs	108,807.00	110,000.00
507	Taxes		
508	Purchased Transportation Serv	ice <u>1,615,759.39</u>	1,800,000.00
509	Miscellaneous Expenses	38,252.01	26,588.60
510	Expense Transfers		
511	Interest Expense		
512	Leases and Rentals		
513	Depreciation/Amortization Operator Funds Grant Funds		
	TOTAL	2,462,212.49	2,543,601.00
IV.	CAPITAL EXPENSES *		
	Debt Service		
	Land/Property Acquisition		
	Vehicles		
	Construction Other		55,000.00
	TOTAL		55,000.00

<sup>\*</sup>Allowable capital expenses are limited for Article 8 claimants; see 99400 (e).

# OPERATIONAL INFORMATION\*

		Actual FY 2002-03	Actual/Est. FY 2003-04	Proposed FY 2004-05
1.	<u>Patronage</u>		•	
	a. Total Passengers	520,886	493,552	520,000
	b. Revenue Passengers		352,102	390,000
	c. Youth Passengers			
	d. Elderly Passengers	48,305	89,728	90,000
	e. Handicapped Passengers	* Included i	n Elderly Passen	ger Count
2.	<u>Vehicle Miles</u>			
	a. Total Vehicle Miles		613,500	620,000
	b. Revenue Vehicle Miles		599,882	605,000
3.	Revenue Vehicle Hours	48,788	52,224	55,000
4.	Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumption			
	a. Diesel- CNG		102,212.7	105,000
	b. Gasoline		27,529.6	30,000
5.	Fare Structure			
	a. Base	_50/2.00	50/2.00	50/2.00
	b. Zone			
	c. Youth			
	d. Senior	.25/ 1.00	.25/ 1.00	.25/ 1.00
	e. Handicapped	.25/_1.00	25/_1.00	_25/_1.00
	f. Monthly Pass	\$20 General/	\$10 Senior/Disa	bled/ Medicare
	g. Other			
	h. Average Fare	.30/ 1.36	.35/ 1.25	.35/ 1.30

<sup>\*</sup>Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description

# THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

		2004-05	2005-2006	2006-2007
Operating D	Expenses	\$ 2,543,601.00	\$ 2,600,000.00 \$	2,600,000.00
Operating D	Revenues:			
Sources:	LTF	\$ 1,782,805.00	\$ 1,750,000.00\$	1,900,000.00
	STA	6,482.00	5,000.00	5,000.00
	Federal	444,314.00	<u>595,000.0</u> 0	395,000.00
	Fares	300,000.00	250,000.00	300,000.00
	General Fund			
	Other			
Total		\$ 2,543,601.00	\$ <u>2,600,000.0</u> 0\$	2,600,000.00
Capital Ex	penses	\$ 55,000.00	\$ _500,000.00 \$	_600,000.00
Capital Re	venue			
Sources:	LTF	\$ 	\$ \$	
	STA			
	Federal	55,000.00	500,000.00	600,000.00
	Other			
Total		\$ 55,000.00	\$ 500,000.00 \$	600,000.00

# FLEET INVENTORY (Transit Vehicle Owners Only)

Make & Model	Year	# of Vehicles	Fuel Type	Standard Seat Capacity	# Wheel- chair Positions	Ramp (y/n)	Lift (y/n)
CNG DAR Buses	2001	6	CNG	48	24	n	У
NABI Low Floor	2000	5	CNG	185	10	у	n .
Amtrans Senator	1991	1	CNG	33	2	n	у
Ford Senator	1996	2	UNL	44	10	n	у
Ford Senator	1996	3	UNL	66	6	n	у
Ford E-350	1995	5	CNG	16	10	n	у
Ford E-350	1995	2	CNG	16	4	n	у
Dupont Trolley	2001	1	CNG	37	2	n	у
			]				
TOTAL	NA	25	NA				

Vehicles to be Purchased in FY 2004-2005

No vehicles	are sch	eduled for	purchase	in 04-05		

#### ARTICLE 4 OPERATOR TDA REQUIREMENTS

#### 1. Fare Ratio/Local Support Requirements

All Article 4 claimants are required to maintain a specified ratio of fare revenue to operating cost. In addition, SMART only is required to maintain a ratio of fare revenue plus local support to operating cost of 32%. See 99268.2 - 99268.19 for details and exemptions pertaining to ratios.

Α.	What is this system's required farebox recovery ratio?
В.	Does the attached budget demonstrate that this system will meet its required farebox recovery and for SMART its farebox plus local support ratios?
C.	Has this system utilized its grace year?
D.	Has this system been in non-compliance with its required ratio?
	If yes, identify the year or years

#### 2. Extension of Service/New Service

An extension of service or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:

- A. The extension of service or new service has been in operation for less than two full fiscal years. The two-year extension of services exclusion applies until two years after the end of the fiscal year in which the extension of services was put into operation.
- B. The claimant submits a report on the extension of services to the COG within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(b)).

Is an extension of service/new service being claimed? \_\_\_\_\_

If so, has the required report been submitted for the most recently completed full fiscal year? \_\_\_\_\_ If not, that report must accompany this claim.

#### 3. Operator's STA Qualifying Criteria (99314.6) EXPLANATION

A transit operator must meet one of two efficiency standards before STA funds may be allocated for <u>operating</u> purposes:

A) The operator's operating cost per revenue vehicle hour, in the latest year for which audited data are available, must not exceed the sum of the preceding year's operating cost per revenue vehicle hour and an amount equal to the change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI)' for the San Francisco Region, multiplied by the preceding year's operating cost per revenue vehicle hour. The formula below accomplishes this exercise:

 $(\text{opcost/RVH}) \text{ FY03} \leq [(\text{opcost/RVH}) \text{ FY02}] * [1.00202] OR$ 

B) The operator's average operating cost per revenue vehicle hour, in the latest three years for which audited data are available, must not exceed the sum of the average of the operating cost per revenue vehicle hour for the three years preceding the latest year for which audited data are available and an amount equal to the average change in the CPI for the same period. The formula below accomplishes this exercise:

AVG(opcost/RVH)FY01,02,03 ≤ {AVG(opcost/RVH)FY00,01,02} \* {1.0401}

As used here, Operating Costs are defined by PUC Section 99247:

All costs in the operating expense object classes exclusive of the costs in the depreciation and amortization expense object class, and exclusive of all direct costs for providing charter services, and exclusive of all vehicle lease costs.

STA allows for other exclusions, to be granted by the COG, if deemed appropriate. These additional operating cost exclusions include:

- 1) Exclusion of cost increases beyond the change in the CPI for fuel, alternative fuel programs, insurance, or state and federal mandates.
- 2) Exclusion of start-up costs for new services for a period of not more than two years (refer to PUC Section 99268.8 for a definition of new service).

If you wish to claim these exclusions when calculating the operation cost per revenue vehicle hour, you must state the request and show calculations in support of the cost to be excluded.

<sup>†</sup> Percentage change across fiscal years using the California CPI.

Pursuant to PUC Section 99314.6 ©), funds withheld from allocation to an operator for failure to meet the STA efficiency criteria will be retained by COG for reallocation to that operator for two years following the year of ineligibility. Any STA funds not allocated before the commencement of the third year following the year of the eligibility shall be reallocated to cost effective, high priority regional transit activities, as determined by the COG.

The following documents pertain to the new STA efficiency standards and are available at your request:

PUC Section 99314.6, also known as Chapter 35 Statutes of 1991 (SB 3-Kopp).

The Uniform System of Accounts for Public Transit Operators.

Consumer Price Index Data for California, January, 1981 through February, 2004.

Transportation Development Act Audit Reports, FY 1992 through FY 2003.

Please complete the attached worksheet to determine if you fully qualify for your STA apportionment. TDA Audit reports will address this efficiency criteria.

3. (	Operator's STA Qualifyi	ng Criteria	(99314.6) - W	ORKSHEET	
(use A. B.	CAL YEAR: e audited data) Operating Cost Operating Cost cclusions:	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
3. 4.					
C.	Adjusted Operating Cost (A-B)				
D.	Revenue Vehicle Hours (RVH)				
E. (i	RVH Exclusions:  1. 2. 3. f more, show on separat	e sheet)			
F.	Adjusted RVH (D-E)				
G.	Operating Cost per RVH (C÷F)	W	Х	Y	Z
Eff	iciency Standard 1:				
Z m	ust be less than or equ	al to (Y)*(1	.00202)		
Sho	w calculation:				
Eff	iciency Standard 2:				
[ (X	$+Y+Z) \div 3$ ] must be less t	han or equal	to $<(W+X+Y)$ ÷	3) >* (1.0401)	
Sho	w calculation:	· <del>············</del>	···		
	=====For COG use only== rator qualifies under:		Yes Yes	No	

#### 4. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an extra page if necessary.

The budgeted salaries reflect a greater than 15% increase over the actual expenditures for the prior year. This is due to the City budgeting personnel costs at the top step for the upcoming years. The City expects the actual to be within the 15% expenditure ratio for actual at the end of the fiscal year.

#### 5. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe in the space below any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

#### 6. Certification by the California Highway Patrol (6632)

Please attach a certification from the CHP verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the California Vehicle Code. This section concerns the "Driver's Pull Notice participation"

Is a Certificate Attached? Yes XX No \_\_\_\_\_
SPECIAL NOTES FOR RATIO CALCULATIONS

<u>SMART</u> - Exclude certain costs and fares as specified in the most recent <u>Compliance Audit Report</u>.

#### Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do by  $99405^{\circ}$ ). The COG Board has established a two-step process.

NOTE: Contributing claimants should proceed to page 23.

#### 1. Match Requirement

For any Article 8 transit claim, no more than 90% of the total operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA (LTF and STA) derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the claimant besides TDA.

#### 2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA operating funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish an operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim. "Operating cost" is defined as in the TDA statutes and regulations. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The COG Board will adopt the system-wide operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim. Operating cost per passenger objectives must established by November of each fiscal year.

If the system failed to meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year of the claim, then the claimant is only eligible to file a claim for the level of TDA operating funding received in that prior fiscal year. In the case of a unified transit system, each claimant would be limited to the prior year's level of TDA operating funding. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA operating funding in a future fiscal year, then the claimant should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

- a. What was the level of TDA operating funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system by this claimant (LTF plus STA)? \$ 1,808,001.00
- b. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds in FY 2003-04?
  ves\_\_\_\_\_

Does the FY 2004-2005 budget demonstrate a 10% match of non-TDA funds? yes

- c. Is this claim requesting more TDA operating funds than were received for this system by this claimant in the previous fiscal year?  $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$  no
- d. If yes, did the system meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the previous fiscal year?  $_{n/a}$  (An affirmative answer should be documented in Part "e".)
- e. What was the last year's Operating Cost per Passenger Objective? \$8.65

What was the actual operating cost per passenger?

(I /ii)

- f. What is the Operating Cost per Passenger Objective for this claim?

\$ 8.73

iv. Budgeted Operating Cost \$ 2,543,601.00

v. Estimated Total Passengers 520,000.00

vi. Projected Operating Cost per Passenger (iv/v) \$ 4.89

vii. FY 2004-2005 OPERATING COST PER PASSENGER OBJECTIVE \$ 8.97

THE PROJECTED 04-05 OPERATING COST PER PASSENGER (vi) MUST BE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO THE 04-05 OPERATING COST PER PASSENGER OBJECTIVE (vii)!

viii. If this claim is for a unified transit system<sup>2</sup>, has the contributing claimant been appraised of the planned system-wide objective set in vii. above?

\_\_n/a\_\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If this claim is for a unified transit system (definition page 23), all calculations and numbers for operating costs per passenger must include system totals.

#### 3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an additional page if necessary.

The difference in salaries of greater than 15% is due to the City practice of budgeting at the top step for salaries. The City expects actual costs to be within the 15% threshold for actual at the end of the fiscal year.

## 4. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe below any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities.

#### ARTICLE 8 CONTRACTOR TDA REQUIREMENTS (CONTRIBUTING CLAIMANTS)

In the case of a "unified transit system," this page is to be used by the "contributing claimant" rather than pages 20 through 22. A "unified transit system" is defined as one that has the same fare structure throughout the service area, but whose TDA expenses are claimed separately by two different TDA claimants. Additionally, to qualify as a unified transit system, all system TDA funding must be claimed under Article 8 (both claimants). "Contributing claimant" is defined as the claimant contributing a minority of the unified transit system's TDA funds. The claimant furnishing the majority of TDA funds is defined as the "primary claimant."

Currently, the following local transit services qualify as unified transit systems:

## FY 2004-2005 Unified Transit Systems This Page Used by:

Tracy Transit	SMART
Tracy Taxi	SMART
Escalon Public Transit System	SMART
Manteca Dial a Ride	SMART
Lathrop (Currently inactive)	SMART

"Contributing claimants" need to answer the following questions:

- 1. Systemwide operating cost per passenger objective for FY 2004-2005 identified in primary claimant's adopted transit claim (from that claim, page 21, (2) f. vii.)
- Date of primary claimant's adopted transit claim (or anticipated future date, if not yet adopted)

#### IMPORTANT:

The operating cost per passenger objective identified above (page 21, (2) f. vii) will be applied uniformly to the total of City and SMART TDA funds used by the unified transit system, to determine eligibility for increased TDA funding as explained on page 20. Separate calculations will not be done for City and SMART.

## PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

# LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title & Description	Project Limits	<u>LTF Cost</u> Total Cost
New Sidewalk Installation	Assorted	\$72,000/100,000
Lockeford/Calaveras Ped Crosswalk		\$2,400/ 26,000
Turner/ Loma Pedestrian Improvements		\$5,627/ 30,000
	<u>LTF Cost</u> : Total Cost :	\$80,027/ 156,000

## PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title & Description	Project	Listing	<u>LTF Cost</u> Total Cost
Pine Street Overlay			\$74,000/200,000
Downtown Street Improvements Pine Street North			\$30,000/ 65,000
Lodi/ Mills Signal Interconnect			\$13,505/ 204,944
Audible Ped Signal			\$ 2,200
Lockeford/ Calaveras Crosswalk			\$ 5,000/ 27,000
Downtown Street Improvements Pine Street South			\$ 58,000
Misc Traffic and Parking Lot Projec	ts	!	\$ 50,295
	LTI	F Cost :	\$233,000/606,439

(Use additional pages if necessary)

#### PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

It is possible that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. TDA funds may be claimed under Article 8 consistent with section 99400 of the TDA. To complete this section, on attached pages, identify:

- I. Project title
- ii. Applicable subdivision of section 99400
- iii. Project description
  - iv. Estimated total cost
  - v. TDA contribution to that total

A separate page or pages should be submitted for each specific project or purpose.

It is strongly recommended that the claimant consult with COG staff before completing this section.

M:\TDA\TDA-05\tdaclm05.wpd

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2004-200

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO FILE THE 2004-05 CLAIM FOR TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LODI

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Lodi City Council does hereby approve the City's 2004-05 Transportation Development Act (TDA) claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF) in the following amounts:

\$2,229,362.00 Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds (including \$122,000 in unexpended carryover)

\$ 6,482.00 State Transit Assistance (STA)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Lodi City Council does hereby authorize the City Manager to execute the claim on behalf of the City of Lodi.

Dated: October 6, 2004

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 2004-200 was passed and adopted by the Lodi City Council in a regular meeting held October 6, 2004, by the following vote:

AYES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - Beckman, Hitchcock, and Howard

NOES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

ABSENT:

COUNCIL MEMBERS – Land and Mayor Hansen

ABSTAIN:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

SUSAN J. BLACKSTON

City Clerk